

From: JKleier@madison.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 12:30pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

I believe that the current version of the settlement scheme is not in the best interest of the Public. Many Definitions of terms are ambiguous or straightforwardly directly beneficial to Microsoft. I think it should be taken under review further, and clarifications made on certain points. Obviously, if Microsoft has done this in the past (i.e, Caldera in the 90's) they will continue to do it in the future.

Intent should also be looked at. Obvioiusly, their intentions are to go as far as they can 'within the law' without actually breaking the law. Well, they broke it, and should pay the consequences. But their outlook on the whole thing has not changed. their intentions are the same. They just have to be even more devious now.

+++++

Jorden R. Kleier
IT Support Specialist